

Estimating stature in females by using the external ear morphometry

Srijith^{1*}, Murugan M²

¹Assistant Professor, ²Professor and HOD, ^{1,2}Dept. of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, ^{1,2}Karpagam Faculty of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Introduction: Identification refers to determining the individuality of a person. External ear has often emerged as a potential tool for forensic investigations and establishment of personal identification. The present study aims to estimate stature using external ear measurements in males thereby deriving at linear regression formulas and finding out the most reliable parameter.

Materials and Methods: The present study was conducted on 50 young and healthy female medical interns, within the age group 22-25 years. Besides stature, eight external ear parameters, namely, ear length, ear width, lobule length, lobule width, conchal length, conchal width, tragus length and tragus width were considered and their measurements obtained from both the ears of each participant.

Results: Obtained data were analysed by SPSS software version 20. There was a strong significant positive correlation between all measurements and stature. Linear regression formulas for the eight parameters were derived. Actual stature and estimated stature from measurements of both ears were compared.

Conclusion: The study concluded that the morphometry of the external ear can be used in the estimation of the stature and that the conchal length was the most reliable parameter to estimate stature.

Keywords: Correlation coefficient, Ear, Identification, Regression equation, Stature.

Introduction

Stature refers to body height. It is one of the most important parameters to determine the physical identity of an individual. There is a definite biological relationship of stature with all the body parts such as head, trunk, extremities, vertebral column, etc.¹⁻¹¹

It is a known fact from many studies that stature bears a direct relation to the length of long bones,¹²⁻¹⁵ and linear regression formulas have been derived to estimate the stature from the length of long bones. These linear regression formulas are applied by the forensic experts to estimate the stature whenever skeletal remains are brought for examination.

Whenever fragmented or dismembered remains such as a decapitated head or only a portion of the face are brought for examination, it becomes a challenging task for a forensic expert to estimate the stature and thus establish the identity. In such scenarios, experts need to make an attempt to estimate stature from the cephalofacial dimensions¹⁶⁻²⁵ such as length, breadth, and circumference of head, nasal morphometry and external ear morphometry. However, studies with respect to the above dimensions are scanty.

The present study aims to estimate stature by using external ear measurements thereby attempting to derive at a linear regression formula for each of the parameters and finding out the most reliable parameter to estimate stature among females.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted on 50 young and healthy female medical interns, within the age group 22-25 years. Subjects with external ear deformities (either congenital or acquired) were excluded from the study.

The stature or height is the distance between the highest point on the head (vertex) and floor. It was measured in the standing position by using a height measuring scale and the height was recorded in centimetres (cm).

Standardized measurements of the external ear parameters were measured by manual verniercalipers and recorded in millimetres (mm) according to the landmarked points defined by DeCarlo et al²⁶ and the methodology was adopted by Mckinney et al²⁷ and Bucker et al.²⁸

The parameters measured in the present study were:

1. Ear length (EL)
2. Ear width (EW)
3. Lobule length (LL)
4. Lobule width (LW)
5. Conchal length (CL)
6. Conchal width (CW)
7. Tragus length (TL)
8. Tragus width (TW)

All the measurements were taken thrice to ensure accuracy and the mean of the three readings was considered as the final reading. All measurements were carried out by the same investigator in order to minimize bias and error of identification of the parts of the external ear involved in the measurements.

*Corresponding Author: Srijith, Dept. of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, ²Karpagam Faculty of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: srijithfun@gmail.com
<http://doi.org/10.18231/J.IJFCM.2019.041>

The entire ear measurements were recorded in millimetres (mm), systematically tabulated and statistically analysed using SPSS version 20 to derive a linear regression equation for estimation of stature. Correlation was calculated to assess the correlation between stature and external ear morphometry, and student t test was applied to test the significance. p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The stature of the female medical interns ranged from 146cm-178cm. The mean values and standard deviation are depicted in Table 1.

Table 1:

	Females
Stature range(cm)	146-178
Mean+/-SD(cm)	162.47+/-8.7

Table 2:

	LT side	RT side	p value
EL(range)	(51.80- 75.70)	(51.80- 75.70)	0.37
Mean ± S.D	63.73 ± 7.21	63.74 ± 7.19	
EW(range)	(31.20- 42.10)	(31.30- 42.10)	0.29
Mean ± S.D	36.44 ± 3.15	36.45 ± 3.13	
LL(range)	(13.60 -27.90)	(13.60 -28.00)	0.017*
Mean ± S.D	20.28 ± 4.08	20.30 ± 4.09	
LW(range)	(13.20 -27.30)	(13.10 – 27.40)	0.00*
Mean ± S.D	20.24 ± 3.98	20.29 ± 4.00	
CL(range)	(20.60- 37.90)	(19.80 – 36.90)	0.94
Mean ± S.D	27.34 ± 4.33	27.34 ± 4.27	
CW(range)	(11.20-30.30)	(11.0-30.0)	0.85
Mean ± S.D	24.21 ± 5.54	24.21 ± 5.52	
TL(range)	(10.20- 17.20)	(10.00 – 17.30)	0.09
Mean ± S.D	12.88 ± 1.58	12.92 ± 1.56	
TW(range)	(4.20- 7.40)	(4.20 – 7.50)	0.22
Mean ± S.D	6.30 ± 0.87	6.27 ± 0.85	

*significant

Table 2-EL:Ear

length,EW:Earwidth;LL:Lobulelength;LW:Lobulewidth;CL:Conchallength;CW:Conchalwidth;TL:Traguslength;TW:Tragus width; significant

Table 3:

		r value	p value
EL	Left	0.97	0.00*
	Right	0.97	0.00*
EW	Left	0.93	0.00*
	Right	0.93	0.00*
LL	Left	0.95	0.00*
	Right	0.95	0.00*
LW	Left	0.94	0.00*
	Right	0.94	0.00*
CL	Left	0.93	0.00*
	Right	0.94	0.00*
CW	Left	0.91	0.00*
	Right	0.90	0.00*

TL	Left	0.92	0.00*
	Right	0.92	0.00*
TW	Left	0.95	0.00*
	Right	0.95	0.00*

*significant

r-correlation

Table 3-EL:Ear

length,EW:Earwidth;LL:Lobulelength;LW:Lobulewidth;CL:Conchalength;CW:Conchalwidth;TL:Traguslength;TW:Tragus width;

Table 4:

	B	SEM	r	SEE	p value	Regression Equation
Left EL	1.17	0.051	0.98	1.98	0.00*	S=87.51 + (1.17 x Left EL)
constant	87.51	3.17				
Left EL	1.55	0.23	0.97	1.92	0.00*	S=95.71 + (1.55 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.89	0.53			0.105	S= 95.71 + (-0.89 x Left EW)
constant	95.71	5.85				
Left EL	1.23	0.25	0.98	1.78	0.00*	S=105.34+ (1.23 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.94	0.49			0.06	S= 105.34 + (-0.4 x Left EW)
Left LL	0.64	0.27			0.026*	S= 105.34 + (0.64 x Left LL)
constant	105.34	6.77				
Left EL	1.23	0.26	0.98	1.81	0.00*	S=104.25 + (1.23 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.90	0.51			0.09	S= 104.25 + (-0.90 x Left EW)
Left LL	0.34	0.93			0.71	S= 104.25 + (0.34 x Left LL)
Left LW	0.27	0.81			0.74	S=104.25 +(0.27 x Left LW)
constant	104.25	7.62				
Left EL	1.24	0.30	0.98	1.84	0.00*	S=104.23+ (1.26 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.91	0.53			0.10	S= 104.23 + (-0.91 x Left EW)
Left LL	0.36	1.00			0.71	S= 104.23 + (0.36 x Left LL)
Left LW	0.26	0.86			0.76	S=104.23 +(0.26 x Left LW)
Left CL	-0.01	0.28			0.95	S=104.23 + (-0.01 x Left CL)
constant	104.23	7.78				
Left EL	1.16	0.29	0.98	1.76	0.001*	S=112.52+ (1.13 x Left EL)
Left EW	-1.08	0.51			0.047*	S= 112.52+ (-1.17 x Left EW)
Left LL	0.42	0.96			0.66	S= 112.52+ (0.64 x Left LL)
Left LW	0.06	0.83			0.93	S=112.52+(-0.11 x Left LW)
Left CL	0.13	0.28			0.64	S=112.52+ (0.11 x Left CL)
Left CW	0.27	0.15			0.08	S= 112.52 + (0.27 x Left CW)
constant	111.26	8.4				
Left EL	1.00	0.26	0.98	1.56	0.001*	S=106.16+ (1.00 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.95	0.46			0.051	S= 106.16+ (-0.95 x Left EW)
Left LL	0.82	0.86			0.35	S= 106.16+ (0.82 x Left LL)
Left LW	-0.31	0.75			0.68	S=106.16+(-0.31 x Left LW)
Left CL	-0.25	0.29			0.38	S=106.16+ (-0.25 x Left CL)
Left CW	0.24	0.13			0.09	S= 106.16+ (0.24 x Left CW)
Left TL	1.38	0.50			0.012*	S=106.16 + (1.38 x Left TL)
constant	106.16	7.67				
Left EL	0.69	0.21	0.99	1.19	0.004*	S=97.74 + (0.69 x Left EL)
Left EW	-0.59	0.36			0.12	S= 97.74 + (-0.54x Left EW)
Left LL	0.30	0.67			0.65	S= 97.74 + (0.48 x Left LL)
Left LW	0.10	0.58			0.86	S=97.74 +(-0.09 x Left LW)
Left CL	-0.11	0.22			0.60	S=97.74 + (-0.03x Left CL)
Left CW	-0.12	0.13			0.38	S= 97.74 + (-0.11x Left CW)
Left TL	1.19	0.39			0.006*	S=97.74 + (0.89x Left TL)
Left TW	3.83	0.94			0.001*	S=97.74 +(3.88 x Left TW)
constant	98.10	6.21				

*significant

B-constant derived;r-correlation;SEM-standard error of measurement;SEE-standard error of estimate

Table 4-EL:Ear length,

EW:Earwidth;LL:Lobulelength;LW:Lobulewidth;CL:Conchalwidth;CW:Conchalwidth;TL:Traguslength;TW:Tragus width;

Table 5:

	B	SEM	r		SEE	p value	Regression Equation
Right EL constant	1.17 87.35	0.051 3.29	0.97	0.95	1.99	0.00*	S=87.35 + (1.17 x Right EL)
Right EL Right EW constant	1.58 -0.95 96.20	0.23 0.53 5.92	0.97	0.95	1.91	0.00* 0.08	S=96.20 + (1.58 x Right EL) S= 96.20 + (-0.95 x Right EW)
Right EL Right EW Right LL constant	1.25 -1.01 0.65 106.15	0.25 0.49 0.27 6.84	0.98	0.96	1.76	0.00* 0.052 0.023*	S=106.15 + (1.25 x Right EL) S= 106.15 + (-1.01 x Right EW) S= 106.15 + (0.65 x Right LL)
Right EL Right EW Right LL Right LW constant	1.25 -1.00 0.58 0.07 105.8	0.26 0.52 0.90 0.78 7.72	0.98	0.96	1.80	0.00* 0.06 0.52 0.92	S=105.8 + (1.25 x Right EL) S= 105.8 + (-1.00 x Right EW) S= 105.8 + (0.58 x Right LL) S=105.8 +(0.07 x Right LW)
Right EL Right EW Right LL Right LW Right CL constant	1.26 -1.00 0.60 0.05 -0.02 105.8	0.31 0.54 0.96 0.82 0.30 7.88	0.98	0.96	1.83	0.00* 0.076 0.54 0.94 0.94	S=105.8 + (1.26 x Right EL) S= 105.8 + (-1.00 x Right EW) S= 105.8 + (0.60 x Right LL) S=105.8 +(0.05 x Right LW) S=105.8 + (-0.02 x Right CL)
Right EL Right EW Right LL Right LW Right CL Right CW constant	1.13 -1.17 0.64 -0.11 0.11 0.27 112.52	0.30 0.52 0.92 0.79 0.30 0.14 8.30	0.98	0.96	1.75	0.001* 0.035* 0.49 0.88 0.70 0.07	S=112.52+(1.13 x Right EL) S= 112.52+ (-1.17 x Right EW) S= 112.52+ (0.64 x Right LL) S=112.52+(-0.11 x Right LW) S=112.52+(0.11 x Right CL) S= 112.52 + (0.27 x Right CW)
Right EL Right EW Right LL Right LW Right CL Right CW Right TL constant	1.01 -1.06 0.98 -0.47 -0.15 0.26 1.19 108.46	0.27 0.47 0.84 0.72 0.29 0.13 0.48 7.68	0.98	0.97	1.58	0.001* 0.036* 0.25 0.52 0.60 0.06 0.021*	S=108.46+ (1.01 x Right EL) S= 108.46+ (-1.06 x Right EW) S= 108.46+ (0.98 x Right LL) S=108.46+(-0.47 x Right LW) S=108.46+ (0.15 x Right CL) S= 108.46+ (0.276x Right CW) S=108.46 + (1.19 x Right TL)
Right EL Right EW Right LL Right LW Right CL Right CW Right TL Right TW constant	0.69 -0.54 0.48 -0.09 -0.03 -0.11 0.89 3.88 97.74	0.23 0.40 0.68 0.59 0.23 0.14 0.39 1.06 6.80	0.99	0.98	1.26	0.008* 0.19 0.49 0.88 0.88 0.44 0.03* 0.001*	S=97.74 + (0.69 x Right EL) S= 97.74 + (-0.54x Right EW) S= 97.74 + (0.48 x Right LL) S=97.74 +(-0.09 x Right LW) S=97.74 + (-0.03x Right CL) S= 97.74 + (-0.11x Right CW) S=97.74 + (0.89x Right TL) S=97.74 +(3.88 x Right TW)

*significant

B-constant derived;r-correlation;SEM-standard error of measurement;SEE-standard error of estimate

Table 5-EL:Ear

length,EW:Earwidth;LL:Lobulelength;LW:Lobulewidth;CL:Conchalwidth;CW:Conchalwidth;TL:Traguslength;TW:Tragus width;

Table 6:

		Left	Right
EL	Range	(146.92-174.33)	(147.91-175.91)
	Mean \pm S.D	160.61 \pm 8.27	161.93 \pm 8.42
EW	Range	(148.73-177.11)	(148.78-176.75)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.25 \pm 8.14	162.13 \pm 8.13
LL	Range	(148.71-177.74)	(147.82-176.86)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.28 \pm 8.28	162.32 \pm 8.20
LW	Range	(148.73-177.97)	(147.57-176.89)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.32 \pm 8.30	162.32 \pm 8.20
CL	Range	(149.78-182.31)	(147.95-180.78)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.47 \pm 8.15	162.43 \pm 8.21
CW	Range	(143.80 – 171.11)	(143.70-171.25)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.41 \pm 7.92	162.86 \pm 8.00
TL	Range	(148.75 – 184.31)	(147.41 – 184.78)
	Mean \pm S.D	162.27 \pm 8.06	162.39 \pm 8.02
TW	Range	(197.41- 266.46)	(142.07 – 174.38)
	Mean \pm S.D	225.09 \pm 14.83	162.40 \pm 8.32
Actual stature	Range	(146-178)	
	Mean \pm S.D	162.47 \pm 8.7	

Table 6-EL:Ear

length,EW:Earwidth;LL:Lobulelength;LW:Lobulewidth;CL:Conchal length;CW:Conchalwidth;TL:Traguslength;TW:Tragus width;

Discussion

The earliest reference to individuality of the external ear was made by Bertillon²⁹ who mentioned in his book that it is almost impossible to meet with two ears which are identical in all their parts. Though Bertillon did not undertake any research work on the use of ear as personal identification tool, he had made the above observation from his experience gathered from working on anthropometry and anthropometry of criminals.

The identity of Veerappan, the notorious sandalwood smuggler in India who was killed by the Special Task Force in 2004 was at first established through the morphology of ear. While comparing the anatomical structure of Veerappan's external ear in antemortem and post-mortem photographs, the Forensic Scientist confirmed his identity on the basis of combination of various features. A large and squarish lobule with a flat tragus which is contiguous with the curved portion of the helix made Veerappan's ear unique, thus helping in his identification.³⁰

The ear is more coplanar, less affected by ageing, unaffected by change of facial expression, though hair and ear ornaments can occlude its appearance. It is stated that the full length of the ear is reached by age 15 in males and age 13 in females.³¹ The external ear does suffer from a few limitations. After the age of 60, the lobule continues to elongate contributing to the overall length of the ear.³²

In a similar study conducted by Magaji Garba Taura et al¹⁷, ear index, a new parameter was considered as one of the variables to predict stature. Ear index was calculated by ear width/ear length \times 100. However, the study observed the least correlation in ear index and the highest correlation in ear width.

Conclusion

Estimation of stature is considered an important parameter in medicolegal and forensic examinations.

The present study concludes that the external ear morphometry is an additional important tool useful in the estimation of stature by using statistical methods, and conchal length being the most reliable parameter. The regression equations generated from the external ear measurements can be a supplementary approach for the estimation of stature when the extremities are not available. Also, the regression formula derived in this study will be of potential use in clinical, medicolegal and anthropological studies. The authors plan to conduct a similar study on male subjects too. The authors recommend that more such studies need to be conducted on both sexes in different regions involving all age groups.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Deepa B, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS, Bangalore for helping us with the statistical analysis in the study.

Ethical Clearance

Issued by the Institutional Ethics Committee

Consent

Informed consent taken from medical interns for the study

Conflict of interest

None.

Source of Funding

Self

References

1. Nagesh KR, Kumar GP. Estimation of stature from vertebral column length in South Indians. *Leg Med (Tokyo)* 2006;8:269-72
2. Rastogi P, Nagesh KR, Yoganarasimha K. Estimation of stature from hand dimensions of North and South Indians. *Leg Med (Tokyo)* 2008;10:185-9.
3. Nachiket S, Sujatha N, Priya R, Raveendranath V, Rema D, Roopa R et al. Reliability of inter-anterior superior iliac spinous distance as compared to foot length for stature estimation in South Indians. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2010;17:352-4.
4. Mohanty SP, Babu SS, Nair NS. The use of arm span as a predictor of height: a study of South Indian women. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2001;9:19-23.
5. Rao PPJ, Sowmya J, Yoganarasimha K, Menezes RG, Kanchan T, Aswinidutt R et al. Estimation of stature from cranial sutures in a South Indian male population. *Int J Legal Med* 2009;123:271-6
6. Krishan K, Sharma A. Estimation of stature from dimensions of hands and feet in a North Indian population. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2007;14:327-32.
7. Agnihotri AK, Purwar B, Googoolye K, Agnihotri S, Jeebun N. Estimation of stature by foot length. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2007;14:279-83.
8. Asha KR and Laxmi Prabha R. Estimation of stature from cephalic dimensions in Indian population. *Anatomica Karnataka* 2011;5(1):1-5.
9. Ilayperuma I. On prediction of personal stature from cranial dimensions. *Int J Morphol* 2010;28(4):1135-40.
10. Seema Mahajan A. Estimation of Personal Height from the Length of Head in Punjab Zone. *Int J Plant, Animal Environ Sci* 2011;1(3):205-8.
11. Jadhav HR and Shah GV. Determination of personal height from length of head in Gujarat region. *J Anatomical Soc India* 2004;53(1):20-1.
12. Smith SL. Stature Estimation of 3-10 year old children from long bone lengths. *J Forensic Sci* 2007;52:538-46.
13. Srijith, Jaffar Hussain AP, Kumar V, Santhosh CS, SK Mohanty. Estimation of stature from the length of the sternum: an autopsy based study. *J Indian Acad Forensic Med* 2018;40(1):80-4
14. Chandran M, Kumar V. Reconstruction of femur length from its fragments in South Indian Males. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2012;19:132-36
15. Kamal R, Yadav PK. Estimation of stature from different anthropometric measurements in kori population of North India. *Egypt J Forensic Sci* 2016;6(4):468-77
16. Abdelaleem S A, Fouad Abdelbaky F A. Estimation of stature in upper Egypt population from external ear morphometry. *Int J Forensic Sci Pathol* 4(10):276-85
17. Taura MG, Lawan HA, Abdullahi G, Musa HM. Height prediction from external ear morphometry: a pilot study. *Int J Res Health Sci* 2016;4(1):15-9.
18. Swami S, Kumar M, Patnaik VVG. Estimation of stature from facial anthropometric measurements in 800 adult Haryanvi baniyas. *Int J Basic Appl Med Sci* 2015;5(1):122-32
19. Agnihotri AK, Smita K, Krishna G, Anishta A. Estimation of stature from cephalofacial dimensions by regression analysis in Indo Mauritian population. *J Forensic Legal Med* 2011;18:167-72.
20. Krishan K. Estimation of stature from cephalofacial anthropometry in North India population. *Forensic Sci Int* 2008;181(1-3):52-6.
21. Krishan K, Kumar R. Determination of stature from cephalofacial dimensions in a North India population. *Legal Med (Tokyo)* 2007;9(3):128-33.
22. Pelin C, Zagyapan R, Yazici C. Body height estimation from head and face dimensions: a different method. *J Forensic Sci* 2010;55(5):1326-30.
23. Ahmed AA. Cephalo facial analysis to estimate stature in Sudanese population. *Legal Med* 2016;20:80-6.
24. Colmenares GG., Sanabria C, Medina, Liliana Carolina Baez. Estimation of stature by cephalometric facial dimensions in skeletonized bodies: study from a sample modern Colombians skeletal remains. *Forensic Sci Int* 2016;258(6):101-06.
25. Deopa D, Thakkar HK, Chandra Prakash, Niranjan R. Anthropometric measurements of external ear of medical students in Uttarkhand Region. *J Anatomical Soc India* 2013;62(1):79-83.
26. De Carlo D, Metaxas D, Stone M. An anthropometric face model using variational techniques. Proceedings of the 25th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques New York. ACM. 1998;67-74.
27. McKinney P, Giese S, Placik O. Management of the ear in rhytidectomy. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1993;92(5): 858-66.
28. Brucker MJ, Patel J, Sullivan PK. A morphometric study of the external ear: age and sex related differences. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2003;112(2):647-52.
29. Bertillon A. Signaletic Instructions including the Theory and Practice of Anthropometrical Identification [R.W. McClaughey translation]. London: The Werner Company. 1896.
30. Purakit R. Role of external ear in establishing personal identity-A short review. *Austin J Forensic Sci Criminology* 2015;2(2):1-5.
31. Skaria K, Alexander, David JS, Sivakumar B, Kang N. Anthropometric study of the human ear. *J Plastic Reconstr Aesthetic Surg* 2011;(64):41-47.
32. Purakit R. External Ear: An analysis of its uniqueness. *Egyptian J Forensic Sci* 2016;(6):99-107.

How to cite this article: Srijith, Murugan M. Estimating stature in females by using the external ear morphometry. *Indian J Forensic Community Med* 2019;6(3):182-7.