# A profile study of tuberculosis patients in Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh

# Anil Kumar Agarwal<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shatkratu Dwivedi<sup>2</sup>, Vikrant Singh Chouhan<sup>3</sup>, Nirmala Kiron<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2,3,4</sup>PG Student, Dept. of Community Medicine, G. R. Medical College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

## \*Corresponding Author:

Email: anilanjuindia@rediffmail.com

#### Abstract

**Background:** Substantial progress has been made in reducing tuberculosis incidence over the past two decades. However, Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be one of the most devastating and widespread infections in the world, if left untreated, each person with active TB disease will infect on an average between 10 and 15 people every year. So to understand these factors study was carried out about socio-demographic profile of patients attending DOTS center.

**Methodology:** The study was conducted in 3 Tuberculosis units of Gwalior city from July 2016 to Oct 2016. Patients diagnosed as having TB and registered under RNTCP were included in the study. Total patients 550 were included in the study.

**Results:** Most of the patients coming to the RNTCP centers belong to the under-privileged group in the age group of 16 to 30 years, (40.2%), male to female ratio was observed to be 1:0.5. Majority of the patients lived in nuclear families (68.3%), belonged to low socio-economic status (77.2%) and dwelled in overcrowded houses (71.6%). 6.9% reported history of contact with tuberculosis patients. More than half of the patients (58.5%) were undernourished. In the study, 55.5% had pulmonary TB and 44.5% had extra-pulmonary TB. HIV co-infection was prevailing in 15 patients and smoking and alcohol intake was present in 72.5% and 2% respectively and it was found statistically significant.(P<0.05).

Conclusion: An improvement in living conditions, education, socioeconomic status and sanitation is desirable to curtail down the prevalence of tuberculosis.

**Keywords:** Tuberculosis prevalence, Socio-demographic profile, Clinical status, Running Title: Tuberculosis patients profile study

### Introduction

Despite the early discovery of the causative agents and the anti-tuberculosis therapy, Tuberculosis yet remains one of the deadliest communicable diseases worldwide. According to the Global Tuberculosis report 2014, an estimated 9 million people developed Tuberculosis & approximately 1.5 million people died of tuberculosis in the year 2013. (1) In the recent 20th edition of the Global TB report 2015, it is stated that the year 2015 is a milestone year in the history of tuberculosis. As the year ends, it marks the expiry of Millinium Development Goals (MDGs) and the transition into the new era of sustainable development goals (SDGs). It also marks the transition from stop TB strategy to end TB strategy. From the year 2016, it is aimed to implement end TB strategy which focuses on reducing TB related deaths by 90% by 2030 (as compared to 2015 levels), reducing new cases by 80% & ensuring that no family should be burdened with catastrophic costs related to TB.(2) To ensure control of tuberculosis as a public health problem, efforts are to be made at every level. People at risk for developing tuberculosis should be screened regularly for the development of the disease. The delay in diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment may often be long, which can result in untoward complications including death.

Besides all the efforts, tuberculosis (TB) yet remains one of the major public health concerns in the world especially WHO South East Asian Region (SEAR) which accounts for 39% of the global TB

incidence. It is estimated that about 3.4 million new cases of TB continue to occur each year and that about 4, 50,000 people died of TB in 2012, most of these in five countries namely Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Myanmar and Thailand. India ranks first among the high TB burden countries and contributed about 26% of estimated global incident TB cases in the year 2012. (3)

Studies of TB are scantily available both in global and national contexts. Reliable data on the burden of all forms of TB in India are required recurrently. Hence, a study of TB cases was carried out to determine the socio-demographic profile, type of TB in the TB units of Gwalior city, knowing that the socio-demographic profile can help in understanding the groups that are vulnerable to the disease and treatment outcomes will help us to know whether RNTCP regimen is effective in TB.

# Methodology

A cross sectional study was conducted amongst the patients suffering from tuberculosis who were registered under DOTs in Gwalior district during duration of study July 2016 to Nov 2016. All patients diagnosed as TB and registered under RNTCP were included in the study. 3TB units from the six TB units of Gwalior city were selected by simple random sampling. The study tool designed comprised of 3 sections – socio-demographic details; relevant medical & personal history & clinical profile of the patients. A total patient 550 was enrolled after their full consent and 18 patients were discarded due to not providing

support. Data collection was started after obtaining the institutional ethical committee clearance permission from respective authorities 0f district health centre. All registered cases of tuberculosis (sputum positive, sputum negative, pulmonary or extra pulmonary) who gave an informed consent to participate were included in the study. The past history of tuberculosis, diabetes in patients, their HIV seropositivity status, or other personal lifestyle habits had no bearing on the inclusion/exclusion of the subjects in the study. The data regarding sociodemographic profile, history of contact with TB patients, etc. was collected by a pre-tested questionnaire during their visit to the hospital/ health centre and the patients were examined to assess the response to treatment and outcome of treatment. The data was analyzed using epi-info version 3.4.1. Descriptive statistics (means, proportions, percentages), chi square test and relative risk ratio (Adjusted Odds ratio) was used.

### Results

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the patients, most (40.2%) belonging to 16-30 year group. The mean age of the patients in our study was 34.7(16.5) years with 95% CI of 33.3-36.1. Out of 550 study subjects tuberculosis was found to be maximum in males i.e. 65.3%, as compared to females i.e. 34.7% with OR was 3.7 and the association was statistically

insignificant(p=0.001). Majority of study subjects were Hindu by religion with urban area and tuberculosis was also maximum among Hindus and urban areas i.e. 89.3%, 57.3 % (p=0.001) respectively. Tuberculosis results were maximum among illiterate i.e. (31.3%), followed by upto middle 30.7%. A statistically significant difference (P=0.001) was found between literacy status. Tuberculosis had shown increasing trend with decrease of per capita income i.e. tuberculosis were maximum amongst subject of very poor socioeconomic status group (class V) (39.6) followed with class IV (37.8%) and significant statistical association (p=0.001) was found between the socio-economic status and the tuberculosis prevalence. Out of total 550 study subjects maximum were unskilled worker by occupation i.e.51.6% with OR 11.4(95% CI-8.5;15.3) and minimum (3.1%,)were in professional/professional jobs and this was also found statistical significant difference(p=0.001). It was observed that environmental factors i.e., kutcha house, presence of overcrowding, absence of cross ventilation, positive smoking history and having smoke producing cooking medium have more tuberculosis cases compared to their counterparts which was 36.5%, OR=3.0[95% CI;2.3,3.8], 71.6%. OR=6.4[95% CI;4.9,8.3], 57.5%, OR=1.8[95% CI 1.4;2.3], 72.5, OR6.9 [95% CI;5.3'9.1] and 59.6%, OR=2.1[95% CI;1.7,2.8) respectively and all were found significant statistically (P=0.001).

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics of study subjects (n=550)

| Socio-Demographic Variables |                            | TB patient | Odds ratio     | P value |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
|                             |                            | No.(%)     |                |         |
| Age groups                  | 0-15                       | 50(9.1)    | Reference      | 0.001   |
|                             | 16-30                      | 221(40.2)  | 6.71(4.8;9.4)  |         |
|                             | 31-45                      | 147(26.7)  | 5.0(3.9;6.3)   |         |
|                             | 46-60                      | 89(16.2)   | 3.8(3.1;4.6)   |         |
|                             | >60                        | 43(7.8)    | 2.9(2.4;3.5)   |         |
| Gender                      | Male                       | 359(65.3)  | 3.7(2.8;4.7)   | 0.001   |
|                             | Female                     | 191(34.7)  | reference      |         |
| Place of                    | Rural                      | 235(42.7)  | 0.5(0.4;0.7)   | 0.001   |
| residence                   | Urban                      | 315(57.3)  | reference      |         |
| Religion                    | Hindu                      | 491(89.3)  | NA             | NA      |
|                             | Muslim                     | 55(10.0)   |                |         |
|                             | Others                     | 4(0.7)     |                |         |
| Educational                 | Illiterate                 | 172(31.3)  | 3.4(2.8;4.2)   | 0.001   |
| status                      | Upto middle                | 169(30.7)  | 2.9(2.3;3.8)   |         |
|                             | Upto intermediate          | 79(14.4)   | 1.7(1.2;2.5)   |         |
|                             | Graduate/post graduate     | 49(8.9)    | Reference      |         |
| Occupations                 | Unemployed                 | 92(16.7)   | 10(7.7;12.9)   | 0.001   |
|                             | Unskilled worker           | 284(51.6)  | 11.4(8.5;15.3) |         |
|                             | Skilled worker             | 89(16.2)   | 5.2(3.5;7.6)   |         |
|                             | Clerical/Farmer/Shop owner | 68(12.4)   | 4.4(2.6;7.6)   |         |
|                             | Semi-                      | 17(3.1)    | Reference      |         |
|                             | professional/Professional  |            |                |         |
| Socio –                     | I                          | 16(2.9)    | Reference      | 0.001   |
| Economic status             | II                         | 22(4.0)    | 1.4(0.7;2.7)   |         |

|  | III             | 86(15.6)  | 3.6(2.4;5.4)   |       |
|--|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------|
|  | IV              | 208(37.8) | 7.9(5.8;10.7)  |       |
|  | V               | 218(39.6) | 10.7(8.2;13.9) |       |
| Type of house  | Pucca           | 349(63.4) | Reference      | 0.001 |
|  | Kutcha          | 201(36.5) | 3.0(2.3;3.8)   |       |
| Overcrowding   | Yes             | 394(71.6) | 6.4(4.9;8.3)   | 0.001 |
|  | No              | 156(28.4) | Reference      |       |
| Cross  | Yes             | 234(42.5) | Reference      | 0.001 |
| Ventilation  | No              | 316(57.5) | 1.8(1.4:2.3)   |       |
| Smoking history  | Yes             | 399(72,5) | 6.9(5.3:9.1)   | 0.001 |
|  | No              | 151(27.5) | Reference      |       |
| Type of cooking  | Smokeless       | 222(40.4) | Reference      | 0.001 |
| medium used  | Smoke producing | 328(59.6) | 2.1(1.7;2.8)   |       |
| Alcohol used   | Yes             | 121(22%)  |                |       |
|  | No              | 429(78%)  |                |       |
| NA - Not applicable: selected RNTCP center of Gwalior city are Hindu majority area |                 |           |                |       |

**Table 2: Tuberculosis patients proportion according to Clinical Characteristics** 

| C   | Clinical variables           | No(%)     | Odds ratio      | P value |  |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|--|
| Sputum  | Positive                     | 177(32.2) | NA              | NA      |  |
| analysis  | Negative                     | 373(67.8) |                 |         |  |
| BMI Group   | <18.5                        | 322(58.5) | 2.2(1.8;2.9)    | 0.001   |  |
| (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )  | 18.5 – 24.9                  | 212(38.5) | Reference       | 0.001   |  |
| (kg/III )   | 25-30                        | 15(2.7)   | 0.7(0.6;0.8)    |         |  |
|   | >30                          | 1(0.2)    | 0.4(0.3;0.5)    |         |  |
| Glycemic  | Diabetic                     | 85(15.4)  | 29.9(21.6;41.5) | 0.001   |  |
| 9   |                              | ` ′       |                 | 0.001   |  |
| status  | Normoglycemia                | 465(84.6) | Reference       | 0.001   |  |
| Category of   | Category – I                 | 445(80.9) | 17.9(13.3;24.3) | 0.001   |  |
| TB  | Category – II                | 105(9.1)  | Reference       |         |  |
| Site of TB  | Extra-Pulmonary              | 245(44.5) | Reference       | 0.003   |  |
|   | Pulmonary                    | 305(55.5) | 1.55(1.22;1.96) |         |  |
| Duration of   | Started within 1 week        | 405(73.6) | 8.8(6.9;11.1)   | 0.001   |  |
| ATT initiation  | Within or completed IP       | 87(15.8)  | 1.59(1.1;2.3)   |         |  |
| l   | Within or completed CP       | 58(10.5)  | Reference       |         |  |
| Past ATT  | Completed                    | 62(59.0)  | Reference       | 0.008   |  |
| history in  | Incomplete                   | 43(41.0)  | 0.5(0.3;0.8)    |         |  |
| Category II   | -                            |           |                 |         |  |
| (n=105)   |                              |           |                 |         |  |
| Reasons for   | Side-effects                 | 4(9.3)    | Reference       | 0.001   |  |
| incomplete  | Change in place of residence | 11(25.6)  | 2.8(0.9;8.8)    |         |  |
| ATT(n=43)   | Incompatibility with DOTS    | 3(6.9)    | 1.7(0.7;4.2)    |         |  |
|   | provider                     | ` ′       | , , ,           |         |  |
|   | Unknown/non specific         | 25(58.1)  | 3.3(1.7;6.3)    |         |  |
| History of  | Yes                          | 38(6.9)   | NA              | 0.001   |  |
| contacts with   | No                           | 512(93.1) |                 |         |  |
| TB patients   |                              | (         |                 |         |  |
| Recent weight   | Yes                          | 363(66.0) | 3.8(2.9;4.8)    | 0.001   |  |
| loss(5 kg or  | No                           | 187(34.0) | Reference       |         |  |
| more)   |                              |           |                 |         |  |
| HIV Status  | Positive                     | 15(2.7)   | NA              | NA      |  |
|   | Negative                     | 535(97.3) | 1               |         |  |
| NA: Not applicable because population percentage data was not available |                              |           |                 |         |  |

The prevalence of TB across some clinical characteristics within the study subjects is shown in

Table 2. Overall Sputum positivity was 32.3% i.e. 177 cases were sputum positive out of 550 study subjects.

Sputum positivity was found maximum in age group 31-45 years. More than half of the patients (58.5%) were undernourished means had BMI <18.5 with statistical association significant (p=0.001,OR=2.2[95%CI; 1.8, 2.9). 6.9 per cent of the patients gave a history of contact with TB patients and it was observed to be significantly associated with the type of TB. Among the patients identified 55.5% had pulmonary TB (OR=1.5, 95%CI; 1.2, 1.9 [P=0.003] and 80.9% had category I (P=0.001, OR=17.9, 95% CI; 13.3, 24.3). With the history of their treatment majority of patients (73.6%) was included who has been started their ATT treatment within 1 week and 59% had been completed their past ATT treatment in category II. Most prominent reasons for incomplete ATT was unknown/non specific (58.1%, OR=3.3) followed with change in place of residence (25.6%, OR=2.8) and this was found statistically significant (p=0.001). The most common co-morbidities associated with TB were recent weight loss (66%), alcohol use (22%), diabetes 15.4%), and AIDS (2.7%).

### Discussion

In present study maximum subjects were reported in the age group of 16-30 year i.e. 40.2.5% while least was in age group above 60 years (7.8%), that was comparable to study conducted by S Gupta et al<sup>(4)</sup> observed that maximum cases (41.5%) were in age group 21-40 years followed by 38.2% in 41-60 years and 11.6% in age group of >60 years. Contrary to these studies Q H Khan<sup>(5)</sup> reported maximum prevalence rate (63.83/1000) in age group 60 years and more 6. Raviglione et al had also reported maximum cases in >65 years of age.<sup>(6)</sup>

Present study reveals that maximum study subjects were male i.e.65.3% as compared to 34.7% female. Similar male dominance for pulmonary tuberculosis was found in studies conducted by Aarti Kaulagekar and Anjali Radkar<sup>(7)</sup> (57.8% males v/s 42.2% females)8, Phalke Baburao et al,(8) Itah and Udofia(9) and Q H Khan. (5) In our study sputum positivity was observed (32.2%) and it was similar to observation by Sumit Jethani et al. (10) who had 40%. Tuberculosis was found maximum in illiterate and little literate persons. education levels imply low professional qualifications, which might restrict access to both the labor market and healthcare services. Moreover, low education levels may be strongly associated with TB deaths due to the failure of individuals to perceive or understand the state of their disease. (11) In this study tuberculosis were maximum among lower socio economic status (Class V) i.e. 39.6%, followed by 37, 8% in class IV subjects which was similar to Aarti Kaulagekar and Anjali Radkar in their study "Social status makes a difference. (12) Tuberculosis scenario reported a descending order of prevalence among lower socio economic status to upper class status different casts. The higher tuberculosis in lower class in present

study could be due to living conditions, ignorance and lack of health advice seeking behavior among this class.

Alcohol use (22%) was the most frequent comorbidity reported in the present study as similar to study of Juliano Souza Caliari<sup>(13)</sup> who reported 25%. Alcohol use and other forms of chemical dependency hinder treatment compliance due to the lifestyles adopted by the affected individuals. The prevalence of under nutrition (BMI <18.5) observed by us is similar 58.5% with Sushma bhai S et al, (14) they had a prevalence of 42%. The proportion of extra-pulmonary cases (44.5%) observed by us is similar to that observed in a retrospective analysis of TB cases carried out at the LRS institute of TB and Respiratory Diseases, New Delhi<sup>(15)</sup> which reported that 47% of the cases were extra-pulmonary TB. 80.9% of the patients were put on Category I, followed by 9.1% on Category II in the present study while in Kabra et al's(16) study of the total 459 patients, 70.3% patients were in Category I, 2.6% were in Category II and 26.1% in Category III. (14) This difference may be explained by the fact that their study was hospital-based. However, Category III has been merged into Category I or called as the new case regimen now.

In our study weight loss was present in quiet a high number of subjects (66.0%) similar to Sumit Jethani et al. (17) who had 84% but contrary to 30.32% reported by Jha et al. (18) We observed an overall treatment completion rate was 59% but it was contrary to Sharma S *et al* in their study was 94.9%. This difference may be explained by the fact that our study includes most of the new cases and not followed to them up to treatment completion. Our observation of history of contact with TB patients is contrary to the findings observed by Madhi F et al (19) in a Paris suburb, where 22% had history of contact with TB patients. Prevalence of HIV infection in the patients was 2.7%. Several studies have shown a prevalence between 0.8 to 2%. (20,21,22)

### Conclusion

With the current demographic transition and increasing life expectancy in low- and middle-income countries, the proportion of young person's is increasing, and the incidence of TB among them is expected to increase. Thus, failure of early identification and management of TB in the youth can present major challenges for a TB control programme—perpetuating the chain of transmission in the community. (23)

This study has observed that TB still continues to be a major problem in younger age group fifteen to thirty years of age who are undernourished and belonging to low socio-economic status. Poor housing conditions which continue to haunt our population is an important risk factor for TB transmission. Thus improving the socio-economic conditions and proper treatment of adult TB who are the major source of infection to youth will go a long way in preventing

adult TB and protect youth who are the pillar of our country. The RNTCP DOTS strategy is an effective treatment modality for TB in adults achieving a high treatment completion rate (94.7%), and low death rate (0.5%).

## Reference

- Global tuberculosis report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.Available on apps. who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/137094/1/9789241564809\_e ng.pdf.[Last accessed on 2016 Jan 2].
- Global Tuberculosis Report: WHO 2015 summary. Available on www.who. Int/tb/publications/global\_report/gtbr2015\_executive\_summary and last access on Jan 2, 2017.
- 3. Global Status Report: WHO 2012 available on www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd\_report [last access on Dec 11, 2016].
- Gupta S, Shenoy V, Mukhopadhyay C, Bairy I, Muralidharan S. Role of risk factors and socio economic status in pulmonary tuberculosis: a search for the root cause in patients in a tertiary care hospital, South India. Tropical Medicine and International Health.2011;16:74-8.
- Khan QH. Epidemiology of Pulmonary tuberculosis in Rural Aligarh. Indian Journal of Community Medicine. 2006;31(1):39-40.
- Raviglione M, Sudre P, Rieder H, Spinaci S, Kochi A. Secular trends of tuberculosis in Western Europe: epidemiological situation in 14 countries. World Health Organization, Tuberculosis Program, Division of Communicable Diseases. 1992;92:170.
- Kaulagekar A, Radkar A. Social Status Makes A Difference: Tuberculosis Scenario During National Family Health Survey - 2. Indian J Tuberc 2007.54(1):17-23
- Baburao P, Bhaskar P, Deepak P, Sharma YV. Study of Tuberculosis cases under RNTCP attending Designated Microscopy Centre at Pravara Rural Hospital, Loni. Pravara Med Rev. 2009;4(4):7-11.
- Itah AY, Udofia SM. Epidemiology and endemicity of pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) in Southeastern Nigeria. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2005;36:317-23.
- Jethani S, Kakkar R, Semwal J, Rawat J. Socio-Demographic Profile of Tuberculosis patient: A hospital based study at Dehradun. Natl J Community Med 2014
- Lindoso AA, Waldman EA, Komatsu NK, et.al. Profile of tuberculosis patients progressing to death, city of São Paulo, Brazil, 2002. Rev Saude Pública. 2008; 42(5):805-12).
- Kaulagekar A, Radkar A. Social Status Makes A Difference: Tuberculosis Scenario During National Family Health Survey-2. Indian J Tuberc 2007.54(1):17-22
- 13. Juliano Souza Caliari1, Rosely Moralez de Figueiredo. Tuberculosis: patient profile, service flwchart, and nurses' opinions. Acta Paul Enferm. 2012;25(1):43-47.
- Sushama Bai S., Lekshmi Devi R. Clinical spectrum of TB in BCG vaccinated children. Indian Pediatr 2002;39:458-62.
- Sharma S, Sarin R, Khalid UK, Singla N, Sharma PP, Behera D. The DOTS strategy for treatment of paediatric pulmonary TB in South Delhi, India. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;**12**:74-80.

- Kabra SK, Lodha R, Seth V. Category-based Treatment of TB in Children. *Indian Paediatr* 2004 September; 41:927-37.
- 17. Sumit Jethani1, Rakesh kakkar 2, Jayanti Semwal et.al. Socio-demographic profile of tuberculosis patient: A hospital based study at Dehradun. National Journal of Community Medicine | Volume 5 | Issue 1 | Jan Mar 2014,pp6-9. Available on www.njcmindia.org/home/download/509[Last access on 23/1/16].
- Jha A. An Epidemiological Study of Pulmonary Tuberculosis in the Field Practice Areas. Dehradun: HNB Garhwal 2010
- Madhi F, Fuhrman C, Monnet I, Atassi K, Poirier C, Housset B et al. Transmission of TB from adults to children in a Paris suburb. Pediatr Pulmonol 2002 September;34(3):159-63.
- Agarwal M, Koppikar GV, Ghildiyal R, Charvakar M, Joshi SM, Lahiri KR. Seropositivity rate for HIV infection in hospitalized children on selective screening. *Indian Paediatr* 2001:38:267 71.
- Lahiri S, Shahab T, Malik A, Alam S. HIV seropositivity in hospitalized children with high likelihood of AIDS. *Indian Paediatr* 2002;39:372-5
- Shahab T, Zoha MS, Malik MA, Malik A, Afzal K. Prevalence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection in Children with TB. *Indian Paediatr* 2004;41:595-9.
- Wang CS, Chen HC, Yang CJ, Wang WY, Chong IW, et al. (2008) The impact of age on the demographic, clinical, radiographic characteristics and treatment outcomes of pulmonary tuberculosis patients in Taiwan. Infection 236:335–340.